

UNCLASSIFIED

☐ INTERNAL  
USE ONLY☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ SECRET

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

EE/G/IS

EXTENSION

NO.

EEGW-27057

DATE

12 Dec 1955

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S  
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

RI/D/an

[initials]

Pls classify

2.

RI/MIS

[initials]

3.

4.

5.

RI/D/Fl

Pls file

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

RECLASSIFIED

RI/AN

[initials]

13.

RI/MIS

[initials]

14.

RI/Files

15.

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCES METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2008

## RECORDS OFFICER STAMP

The following action is  
authorized: Desensitize

Signed: [initials]

R.O. #356 - Unit

EE/G

Note: If destruction, cite  
reasons and coordinate  
if appropriate.

ABSTRACT [initials]

DATE

12 Dec 1955

ORM  
-62

610

USE PREVIOUS  
EDITIONS

SECRET



CONFIDENTIAL

INTERNAL  
USE ONLY

UNCLASSIFIED

OFFICIAL DISPATCH

NO FIELD DISTRIBUTION

VIA (Specify Air or Sea Pouch)

DISPATCH NO. **EEGW 27057**

**SECRET**

CLASSIFICATION

DEC 12 1955

TO Chief of Station, Germany

DATE

ATTN: ☐

FROM Chief, EE

INFO: COS, Berlin

SUBJECT { GENERAL Operational/CADORE  
SPECIFIC LOCCASSOCK/LOFLUTTER

References: A. EGBA 26543 (KUBARK), 18 October 1955  
B. EGBA 18246, 2 November 1954  
C. EGBA 24846, 12 August 1955

1. In reference to your request in paragraph 2 of Reference A we concur in telling CADRIER that ☐ voluntarily underwent an LOFLUTTER, if you feel that this will aid in convincing CADRIER that he should take the test.

2. In this connection, ☐ advises that during a routine operational meeting with CADRIER in October 1954, CADRIER referred to an article in the Saturday Evening Post and German publications on KUBARK. CADRIER stated that he was aware of the fact that all KUBARK staffers, because of the type of work they performed, were required to take an LOFLUTTER, and that he, CADRIER, spending OUTSIDE money and enjoying OUTSIDE support, could certainly not object to taking one - in fact would welcome the opportunity, especially in regard to a ZIPPER-CADRIER relationship. You may recall that Identity 1 of Reference C, during his GENDRIT stint, was fully acquainted with the LOFLUTTER process, and he gave the details to CADRIER.

3. If ☐ feels that the raising of the LOFLUTTER question with CADRIER might jeopardize ☐ future relationship with him, we feel that a meeting at which CADRIER's LOFLUTTER is raised might be attended by ☐ and that ☐ might broach the question of LOFLUTTER. However, we feel that ☐ can decide best whether the presence of "his superior" is needed.

4. In connection with paragraph 3 of Reference A, we believe the matter of repayment of the missing funds and the matter of a termination gratuity for CADRIER should not be mixed, but each matter treated separately on its own merits.

(Releasing officer)

CS COPY

DESE

6 December 1955 - EE/Germany

Distribution: 3-COS, 2-Berlin

1-EE/G, 1-

**SECRET**

COORDINATE: Security Office

50408

FORM NO. 151-29  
JUN 1949

NO FIELD DISTRIBUTION

**SECRET**

RYBAT

SECRET

ECOM 27057

Chief of Station, Germany

ATTN: ☐

Chief, EE

INFO: COS, Berlin

DEC 12 1955

Operational/CADDERY  
LOGANBOOK/LOFLUTTER

References: A. HIRA 24543 (RYBAT), 18 October 1955  
B. HIRA 18246, 2 November 1954  
C. HIRA 24546, 12 August 1955

1. In reference to your request in paragraph 2 of Reference A we concur in telling CADDERY that ☐ voluntarily underwent an LOFLUTTER, if you feel that this will aid in convincing CADDERY that he should take the test.

2. In this connection, ☐ advises that during a routine operational meeting with CADDERY in October 1954 CADDERY referred to an article in the Saturday Evening Post and German publications on KUBARK. CADDERY stated that he was aware of the fact that all KUBARK staffers, because of the type of work they performed, were required to take an LOFLUTTER, and that he, CADDERY, spending OUTSIDE money and enjoying CHERRY's support, could certainly not object to taking one - in fact would welcome the opportunity, especially in regard to a ZIPPER-CADDERY relationship. You may recall that Identity 1 of Reference C, during his CHERRY stunt, was fully acquainted with the LOFLUTTER process, and he gave the details to CADDERY.

3. If ☐ feels that the raising of the LOFLUTTER question with CADDERY might jeopardize ☐ future relationship with him, we feel that a meeting at which CADDERY's LOFLUTTER is raised might be attended by ☐ and that ☐ might broach the question of LOFLUTTER. However, we feel that ☐ can decide best whether the presence of "his superior" is needed.

4. In connection with paragraph 3 of Reference A, we believe the matter of repayment of the missing funds and the matter of a termination gratuity for CADDERY should not be mixed, but each matter treated separately on its own merits.

6 December 1955 - EE/Germany - ☐ /plr

Distribution: 3-COS, 2-Berlin

1-EE, 2-EE/G, 1 ☐

SECRET

Coord. 50 ☐

DESENSITIZED

EE/G

RYBAT

EE/G ☐

50408 ☐